

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

VARTKES BOURMAIAN,  
#96851  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
DOUGLAS GILLESPIE, *et al.*,  
Defendants.

2:10-cv-02233-JCM-GWF

## **AMENDED ORDER**


17 Presently before the court is docket #27. The court has reviewed that order and finds that it made  
18 an error in dismissing the case with prejudice as to defendant Dr. Simone Russo. In the concluding  
19 paragraph, the court inadvertently ordered that claims against Dr. Russo be dismissed with prejudice,  
20 which conflicts with the holding in the body of the order to dismiss those claims without prejudice. The  
21 court hereby clarifies that order to dismiss claims as to defendant Dr. Simone Russo *without prejudice*.

22 | Accordingly,

23	...
24	...
25	...
26	...

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the case as to defendant Dr.  
2 Simone Russo, be, and the same hereby is, DISMISSED without prejudice.

3 DATED this 26<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2011.

4  
5   
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26